UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,285	12/29/2000	Gopal N. Iyer	00264	8916

7590	03/30/2004	

Michael D. Lazzara
Kirkpatrick & Lockhart LLP
535 Smithfield Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15222

EXAMINER
SMITH, SHEILA B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2681	10

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/751,285	IYER, GOPAL N.
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	Sheila B. Smith	2681	

-- *The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address* --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1\7\04
2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**.   2b)☒ This action is non-final.
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-14* is/are pending in the application.
    4a) Of the above claim(s) *6 and 12* is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)☐ Claim(s) *13 and 14* is/are allowed.
6)☐ Claim(s) *1-5 and 7-11* is/are rejected.
7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.
    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
    a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:
    1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
    3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1)☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2)☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3)☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date *9*.
4)☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
5)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6)☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1.   The use of the trademark Corsair Phoneprint has been noted in this application. It should

be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary

nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner

which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in
> section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are
> such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person
> having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the
> manner in which the invention was made.

2.   Claims 1-5,7-11,13,14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Amin et al. (U. S. Patent Number 5,953,652) in view of Wical (U. S. Patent Number 5,694,523)

and further in view of Corsair article.

Regarding claims 1, Amin et al. discloses essentially all the claimed invention as set

fourth in the instant application, further Amin et al. discloses detection of fraudulently

registered mobile phones. In addition Amin et al. discloses a generating a tear down report

based on call specific data obtained in a wireless network (which reads on column 7 lines 5-7

and column 14 lines 3-4), feeding said data file to a software program (which reads on column 5

lines 54-59). However Amin et al. fails to specifically discloses (a) thematic mapping software

and (b) generating a graphical representation of said data file with said mapping software program and (c) receiving as input a Corsair Phoneprint data file.

(a) In the same field of endeavor, Wical discloses a content processing system for discourse. Wical discloses thematic mapping software (which reads on column 28 lines 23-25).

(b) In the same field of endeavor, Wical discloses a content processing system for discourse. Wical discloses generating a graphical representation of said data file with said mapping software program (which reads on column 6 lines 18-20).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to modify Amin et al. by specifically providing for (a) thematic mapping software and (b) generating a graphical representation of said data file with said mapping software program as taught by Wical for the purpose of producing a 3D representation.

(C) In the same field of endeavor, Corsair article discloses that the Corsair Phoneprint data file is used to detect a fraudulent cell phone.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to modify Amin et al. in view of Wical by specifically providing for a Corsair Phoneprint data file as taught by Corsair article for the purpose of generating a list of fraudulent users.

Regarding claims 2,8,14, Amin et al. in view of Wical and further in view of Corsair article. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 1) additionally, Amin et al. discloses data file includes call specific data selected from the group consisting of Date, Time of Call, Site ID, ESN of the fraudulent mobile, and telephone number (which reads on column 5 lines 60-64).

Regarding claims 3,9, Amin et al. in view of Wical and further in view of Corsair

article. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 1) additionally, Amin et al.

discloses generating an output report based on said data file including Cell Number and total call

tear downs for a predetermined time period. (which reads on column 51 lines 27-30).

Regarding claims 4,10, Amin et al. in view of Wical and further in view of Corsair

article. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 1) additionally, Amin et al.

discloses feeding said output report to said mapping software program and generating a graphical

representation of said output report therefrom (which reads on column 28 lines 24-27).

Regarding claims 5,11, Amin et al. in view of Wical and further in view of Corsair

article. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 1) additionally, Amin et al.

discloses graphical representation includes cell sites color coded according to tear down data of

said data file (which reads on column 5 lines 27-30).

Regarding claim 7, Amin et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see

claim 1) additionally, Amin et al. discloses a computer readable medium containing

instructions for generating a tear down report based on call specific data obtained in a wireless

network, said method comprising (which reads on column 5 lines 6-9): receiving as input a

Corsair Phoneprint data (which reads on Amin et al. disk-base file) file including said call

specific data (which reads on column 21 lines 15-20); feeding said data file to a program

(which reads on column 22 lines 1-10). However Amin et al. fails to specifically discloses (a)

thematic mapping software and (b) generating a graphical representation of said data file with

said mapping software program and (c) receiving as input a Corsair Phoneprint data file.

(a) In the same field of endeavor, Wical discloses a content processing system for discourse. Wical discloses thematic mapping software (which reads on column 28 lines 23-25).

(b) In the same field of endeavor, Wical discloses a content processing system for discourse. Wical discloses generating a graphical representation of said data file with said mapping software program (which reads on column 6 lines 18-20).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to modify Amin et al. by specifically providing for (a) thematic mapping software and (b) generating a graphical representation of said data file with said mapping software program as taught by Wical for the purpose of producing a 3D representation.

(C) In the same field of endeavor, Corsair article discloses that the Corsair Phoneprint data file is used to detect a fraudulent cell phone.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to modify Amin et al. in view of Wical by specifically providing for a Corsair Phoneprint data file as taught by Corsair article for the purpose of generating a list of fraudulent users.

Regarding claim 13, Amin et al. discloses essentially all the claimed invention as set fourth in the instant application, further Amin et al. discloses a network engineering systems engineering system for mobile satellite communication system. In addition Amin et al. discloses a generating a tear down report based on call specific data obtained in a wireless network, said method comprising: a user access device receiving as input a Corsair Phoneprint data (which reads on Amin et al. disk-base file) file including said call specific data (which reads on column 21 lines 15-20); a processor for feeding said data file to a program (which

reads on column 5 lines 25-29 and column 22 lines 1-10). However Amin et al. fails to specifically discloses (a) thematic mapping software and (b) generating a graphical representation of said data file with said mapping software program and (c) receiving as input a Corsair Phoneprint data file.

(a) In the same field of endeavor, Wical discloses a content processing system for discourse. Wical discloses thematic mapping software (which reads on column 28 lines 23-25).

(b) In the same field of endeavor, Wical discloses a content processing system for discourse. Wical discloses generating a graphical representation of said data file with said mapping software program (which reads on column 6 lines 18-20).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to modify Amin et al. by specifically providing for (a) thematic mapping software and (b) generating a graphical representation of said data file with said mapping software program as taught by Wical for the purpose of producing a 3D representation.

(C) In the same field of endeavor, Corsair article discloses that the Corsair Phoneprint data file is used to detect a fraudulent cell phone.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to modify Amin et al. in view of Wical by specifically providing for a Corsair Phoneprint data file as taught by Corsair article for the purpose of generating a list of fraudulent users.

Allowable Subject Matter

3.   Claims 15,16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

4.   Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheila B. Smith whose telephone number is (703)305-0104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am - 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Erika Gary can be reached on 703-308-0123. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S. Smith
March 22, 2004

TEMICA M. DAVIS
PATENT EXAMINER